Docket No.: 96790P527

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Applica	ation of:		
Kibatsu Shinohara		Art Group:	2817
Patent No.:	7,545,226	Examiner:	Gannon, Levi
Issue Date:	June 9, 2009		
For: magnetron oscillator			

Mail Stop 16 Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# REQUEST FOR REFUND (IMPROPER CHARGE OF DEPOSIT ACCOUNT)

#### I. REFUND REQUEST

This is a request for refund, with respect to the charge to Deposit Account <u>02-2666</u>, shown on the statement dated <u>May 5, 2009</u>, for the above-identified

application.

patent

(check the following, if desired, and supply copy of statement)

A copy of the monthly statement, in which the error referred to occurs, accompanies this request.

96790P527 Page 1 of 3

### II. FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND REQUESTED

III.

	REFUND REQUESTED
Filing fee	
Surcharge for filing the basic filing fee on a date later than the filing	
date of the application (37 C.F.R. 1/16(e))	
Surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application	
(37 C.F.R. 1.16(e))	
Extension of term	
first month	<del></del>
second month	
third month	
fourth month	
ififth month	
Excess claims	· · · · · ·
☐ Issue fee ☐ Petition fee	<del></del>
Patent maintenance fee	<del></del>
☐ Faretti maintenance fee	-
second maintenance fee	
third maintenance fee	
Patent maintenance fee surcharge	
Fatent maintenance fee surcharge	905.00
Other Half of the amount charged for payment of Issue and Publication	
fees (i.e. \$905.00)	
tees (i.e. \$905.00)	
fees (i.e. \$905.00)  TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED	905.00
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED  EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ER	RROR
	RROR

**AMOUNT OF** 

96790P527 Page 2 of 3

## IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by	
☐ crediting Account No. 02-2666☐ refunding payment.	
	Respectfully submitted,
	Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP
Date:August 03, 2009	Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139
1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040 Telephone: (310) 207-3800	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below.
	Alexis Karriker Date

96790P527 Page 3 of 3